Annual Index, Volume 70

Cumulative indexes have been compiled for JUDICATURE volumes 1-20 and 21-45. Volumes 45-69 are indexed in separate annual indexes. For microfilm editions, write University Microfilms, Inc., P.O. Box 1307, Ann Arbor, Michigan 48106.

S U B J E C T S

AJS
After California, what's next for judicial elections? (panel discussion), 356-364.
Report to the members, 177-194.
"Ten years is a nice round figure:" an interview with George H. Williams, 241-243.

Administrative law
Merit selection of federal administrative law judges, Sharon, Amiel T. and Pettibone, Craig B., 216-222.

Alternatives to adjudication
Adjudication to settlement: shading in the gray, Kritzer, Herbert M., 161-165.
Early neutral evaluation: a follow-up report, Levine, David I., 236-240.
Symposium examines tort liability system problems (focus), Burnham, David, 59-60.

Appellate courts
Improving the process: case selection by the Supreme Court, Estreicher, Samuel and Sexton, John, 41-47.
An intercircuit panel of the United States court of appeals: the costs of structural change, Winters, Richard H., 31-40.
Motion on the merits: an effective response to appellate congestion and delay (focus), Green, Dale M. and Keyes, Michael F., 168-170.
My "freshman years" on the court of appeals, Kaye, Judith S., 166-167.
Records and more records: how the Third Circuit coped (and saved money too) (focus), Nejelski, Paul and Gallagher, Steven G., 122-124.
States before the U.S. Supreme Court: direct representation in cases involving criminal rights, 1969-1984, Epstein, Lee and O'Connor, Karen, 305-306.
States before the U.S. Supreme Court: state attorneys general as *amicus curiae*, Morris, Thomas R., 298-305.

Bar activities
After California, what's next for judicial elections? (panel discussion), 356-364.

Civil justice system
Symposium examines tort liability system problems (focus), Burnham, David, 59-60.

Civil procedure
Corporations as citizens of every state where they do business: a needed change in diversity jurisdiction, Joiner, Charles W., 291-297.
What should be done when prisoners want to take the state to court?, Hanson, Roger A., 223-227; Prison reform litigation (letter), Koren, Edward I., 260; Author's response (letter), Brakel, Samuel Jan, 260, 312.

Congestion and delay
Corporations as citizens of every state where they do business: a needed change in diversity jurisdiction, Joiner, Charles W., 291-297.
Delay in state courts: are time standards an answer? (focus), Schwartz, Howard P. and Ratliff, Leslie, 124-126.
Early neutral evaluation: a follow-up report, Levine, David I., 236-240.
Major caseload growth predicted (letter), Marvell, Thomas B. and Moody, Carlisle E., 4.
Motion on the merits: an effective response to appellate congestion and delay (focus), Green, Dale M. and Keyes, Michael F., 168-170.
Records and more records: how the Third Circuit coped (and saved money too) (focus), Nejelski, Paul and Gallagher, Steven G., 122-124.
Should court cases be systematically sequenced? (query), Nagel, Stuart S., 261-263, 310.
A step forward: Tulsa's precourt hearing program (focus), Kleiman, Bonnie S., 170-171.
What should be done when prisoners want to take the state to court?, Hanson, Roger A., 223-227.

Constitutional amendments
Is life tenure on the Supreme Court good for the country? (query), Fleming, Macklin, 322-323, 375.

Corrections
Federal judges' role in prisoner litigation: What's necessary? What's proper?, Smith, Christopher E., 144-150.
Prison reform litigation: has the revolution gone too far? (query), Brakel, Samuel Jan, 5-6, 64-65; Prison reform litigation (letter), Koren, Edward I., 260; Author's response (letter), Brakel, Samuel Jan, 260, 312.
What should be done when prisoners want to take the state to court?, Hanson, Roger A., 223-227.

Court financing and budgeting
The growth of the federal judicial labor force: a budget-based perspective, Nase, Jonathan P., 157-160.

Court information systems
Can and should there be systematic assignment of judges to casetypes?, Slate, William K. II, 77-78.
Judicial assignment, efficiency and politics, Ryan, John Paul, 78-79.
A response to the responses, Nagel, Stuart S., 79; A response to the response (letter), Polansky, Larry P., 141.
Should court cases be systematically sequenced? (query), Nagel, Stuart S., 261-263, 310.
Should judges manage their own caseloads? (query), Enslen, Richard A., 200-201.
Systematic assignment of judges: a proposal, Nagel, Stuart S., 73-75.
Systematic assignment in the urban court, Polansky, Larry P., 76-77.

Court management
Adjudicatory processes and the organization of trial courts, Kerwin, Cornelius, Henderson, Thomas and Baar, Carl, 99-107.
Can and should there be systematic assignment of judges to casetypes?, Slate, William K. II, 77-78.
Court executives and decentralization of the federal judiciary, Flanders, Steven, 273-279.
Delay in state courts: are time standards an answer? (focus), Schwartz, Howard P. and Ratliff, Leslie, 124-126.
Early neutral evaluation: a follow-up report, Levine, David I., 236-240.
The growth of the federal judicial labor force: a budget-based perspective, Nase, Jonathan P., 157-160.
Improving the process: case selection by the Supreme Court, Estreicher, Samuel and Sexton, John, 41-47.
Judicial assignment, efficiency and politics, Ryan, John Paul, 78-79.
Motion on the merits: an effective response to appellate congestion and delay (focus), Green, Dale M. and Keyes, Michael F., 168-170.
Records and more records: how the Third Circuit coped (and saved money too) (focus), Nejelski, Paul and Gallagher, Steven G., 122-124.
A response to the responses, Nagel, Stuart S., 79; A response to the response (letter), Polansky, Larry P., 141.
Should court cases be systematically sequenced? (query), Nagel, Stuart S., 261-263, 310.
Should judges manage their own caseloads? (query), Enslen, Richard A., 200-201.
A step forward: Tulsa's precourt hearing program (focus), Kleiman, Bonnie S., 170-171.
Systematic assignment of judges: a proposal, Nagel, Stuart S., 73-75.
Systematic assignment in the urban court, Polansky, Larry P., 76-77.

Court performance
Adjudicatory processes and the organization of trial courts, Kerwin, Cornelius, Henderson, Thomas and Baar, Carl, 99-107.
Court executives and decentralization of the federal judiciary, Flanders, Steven, 273-279.
Early neutral evaluation: a follow-up report, Levine, David I., 236-240.
Motion on the merits: an effective response to appellate congestion and delay (focus), Green, Dale M. and Keyes, Michael F., 168-170.
Should court cases be systematically sequenced? (query), Nagel, Stuart S., 261-263, 310.
Should judges manage their own caseloads? (query), Enslen, Richard A., 200-201.

Court personnel
Adjudicatory processes and the organization of trial courts, Kerwin, Cornelius, Henderson, Thomas and Baar, Carl, 99-107.
Can and should there be systematic assignment of judges to casetypes?, Slate, William K. II, 77-78.
Child victims in court: the limits of innovation, Whitcomb, Debra, 90-94.
Court executives and decentralization of the federal judiciary, Flanders, Steven, 273-279.
Documenting gender bias in the courts: the task force approach, Schafran, Lynn Hecht, 280-290.
The growth of the federal judicial labor force: a budget-based perspective, Nase, Jonathan P., 157-160.
Judicial assignment, efficiency and politics, Ryan, John Paul, 78-79.
My "freshman years" on the court of appeals, Kaye, Judith S., 166-167.
Prison reform litigation: has the revolution gone too far? (query), Brakel, Samuel Jan, 5-6, 64-65; Prison reform litigation (letter), Koren, Edward I., 260; Author's response (letter), Brakel, Samuel Jan, 260, 312.
A response to the responses, Nagel, Stuart S., 79; A response to the response (letter), Polansky, Larry P., 141.
Should judges manage their own caseloads? (query), Enslen, Richard A., 200-201.
Systematic assignment of judges: a proposal, Nagel, Stuart S., 73-75.
Systematic assignment in the urban court, Polansky, Larry P., 76-77.

Court structure
The growth of the federal judicial labor force: a budget-based perspective, Nase, Jonathan P., 157-160.
An intercircuit panel of the United States court of appeals: the costs of structural change, Winters, Richard H., 31-40.
Major caseload growth predicted (letter), Marvell, Thomas B. and Moody, Carlisle E., 4.
A step forward: Tulsa's precourt hearing program (focus), Kleiman, Bonnie S., 170-171.

Criminal procedure
Judicial attitudes toward child sexual abuse: a preliminary examination, Saunders, Edward J., 95-98.
Judicial supervision of the guilty plea process: a study of six jurisdictions, McDonald, William F., 203-215.

KEY

The pages used in the index listings refer to the following months.

Pages	Month
1-68	June-July 1986
69-136	August-September
137-196	October-November
197-256	December-January 1987
257-316	February-March
317-384	April-May

Annual Index, Volume 70

Cumulative indexes have been compiled for JUDICATURE volumes 1-20 and 21-45. Volumes 45-69 are indexed in separate annual indexes. For microfilm editions, write University Microfilms, Inc., P.O. Box 1307, Ann Arbor, Michigan 48106.

S U B J E C T S

AJS
After California, what's next for judicial elections? (panel discussion), 356-364.
Report to the members, 177-194.
"Ten years is a nice round figure:" an interview with George H. Williams, 241-243.

Administrative law
Merit selection of federal administrative law judges, Sharon, Amiel T. and Pettibone, Craig B., 216-222.

Alternatives to adjudication
Adjudication to settlement: shading in the gray, Kritzer, Herbert M., 161-165.
Early neutral evaluation: a follow-up report, Levine, David I., 236-240.
Symposium examines tort liability system problems (focus), Burnham, David, 59-60.

Appellate courts
Improving the process: case selection by the Supreme Court, Estreicher, Samuel and Sexton, John, 41-47.
An intercircuit panel of the United States court of appeals: the costs of structural change, Winters, Richard H., 31-40.
Motion on the merits: an effective response to appellate congestion and delay (focus), Green, Dale M. and Keyes, Michael F., 168-170.
My "freshman years" on the court of appeals, Kaye, Judith S., 166-167.
Records and more records: how the Third Circuit coped (and saved money too) (focus), Nejelski, Paul and Gallagher, Steven G., 122-124.
States before the U.S. Supreme Court: direct representation in cases involving criminal rights, 1969-1984, Epstein, Lee and O'Connor, Karen, 305-306.
States before the U.S. Supreme Court: state attorneys general as *amicus curiae*, Morris, Thomas R., 298-305.

Bar activities
After California, what's next for judicial elections? (panel discussion), 356-364.

Civil justice system
Symposium examines tort liability system problems (focus), Burnham, David, 59-60.

Civil procedure
Corporations as citizens of every state where they do business: a needed change in diversity jurisdiction, Joiner, Charles W., 291-297.
What should be done when prisoners want to take the state to court?, Hanson, Roger A., 223-227; Prison reform litigation (letter), Koren, Edward I., 260; Author's response (letter), Brakel, Samuel Jan, 260, 312.

Congestion and delay
Corporations as citizens of every state where they do business: a needed change in diversity jurisdiction, Joiner, Charles W., 291-297.
Delay in state courts: are time standards an answer? (focus), Schwartz, Howard P. and Ratliff, Leslie, 124-126.
Early neutral evaluation: a follow-up report, Levine, David I., 236-240.
Major caseload growth predicted (letter), Marvell, Thomas B. and Moody, Carlisle E., 4.
Motion on the merits: an effective response to appellate congestion and delay (focus), Green, Dale M. and Keyes, Michael F., 168-170.
Records and more records: how the Third Circuit coped (and saved money too) (focus), Nejelski, Paul and Gallagher, Steven G., 122-124.
Should court cases be systematically sequenced? (query), Nagel, Stuart S., 261-263, 310.
A step forward: Tulsa's precourt hearing program (focus), Kleiman, Bonnie S., 170-171.
What should be done when prisoners want to take the state to court?, Hanson, Roger A., 223-227.

Constitutional amendments
Is life tenure on the Supreme Court good for the country? (query), Fleming, Macklin, 322-323, 375.

Corrections
Federal judges' role in prisoner litigation: What's necessary? What's proper?, Smith, Christopher E., 144-150.
Prison reform litigation: has the revolution gone too far? (query), Brakel, Samuel Jan, 5-6, 64-65; Prison reform litigation (letter), Koren, Edward I., 260; Author's response (letter), Brakel, Samuel Jan, 260, 312.
What should be done when prisoners want to take the state to court?, Hanson, Roger A., 223-227.

Court financing and budgeting
The growth of the federal judicial labor force: a budget-based perspective, Nase, Jonathan P., 157-160.

Court information systems
Can and should there be systematic assignment of judges to casetypes?, Slate, William K. II, 77-78.
Judicial assignment, efficiency and politics, Ryan, John Paul, 78-79.
A response to the responses, Nagel, Stuart S., 79; A response to the response (letter), Polansky, Larry P., 141.
Should court cases be systematically sequenced? (query), Nagel, Stuart S., 261-263, 310.
Should judges manage their own caseloads? (query), Enslen, Richard A., 200-201.
Systematic assignment of judges: a proposal, Nagel, Stuart S., 73-75.
Systematic assignment in the urban court, Polansky, Larry P., 76-77.

Court management
Adjudicatory processes and the organization of trial courts, Kerwin, Cornelius, Henderson, Thomas and Baar, Carl, 99-107.
Can and should there be systematic assignment of judges to casetypes?, Slate, William K. II, 77-78.
Court executives and decentralization of the federal judiciary, Flanders, Steven, 273-279.
Delay in state courts: are time standards an answer? (focus), Schwartz, Howard P. and Ratliff, Leslie, 124-126.
Early neutral evaluation: a follow-up report, Levine, David I., 236-240.
The growth of the federal judicial labor force: a budget-based perspective, Nase, Jonathan P., 157-160.
Improving the process: case selection by the Supreme Court, Estreicher, Samuel and Sexton, John, 41-47.
Judicial assignment, efficiency and politics, Ryan, John Paul, 78-79.
Motion on the merits: an effective response to appellate congestion and delay (focus), Green, Dale M. and Keyes, Michael F., 168-170.
Records and more records: how the Third Circuit coped (and saved money too) (focus), Nejelski, Paul and Gallagher, Steven G., 122-124.
A response to the responses, Nagel, Stuart S., 79; A response to the response (letter), Polansky, Larry P., 141.
Should court cases be systematically sequenced? (query), Nagel, Stuart S., 261-263, 310.
Should judges manage their own caseloads? (query), Enslen, Richard A., 200-201.
A step forward: Tulsa's precourt hearing program (focus), Kleiman, Bonnie S., 170-171.
Systematic assignment of judges: a proposal, Nagel, Stuart S., 73-75.
Systematic assignment in the urban court, Polansky, Larry P., 76-77.

Court performance
Adjudicatory processes and the organization of trial courts, Kerwin, Cornelius, Henderson, Thomas and Baar, Carl, 99-107.
Court executives and decentralization of the federal judiciary, Flanders, Steven, 273-279.
Early neutral evaluation: a follow-up report, Levine, David I., 236-240.
Motion on the merits: an effective response to appellate congestion and delay (focus), Green, Dale M. and Keyes, Michael F., 168-170.
Should court cases be systematically sequenced? (query), Nagel, Stuart S., 261-263, 310.
Should judges manage their own caseloads? (query), Enslen, Richard A., 200-201.

Court personnel
Adjudicatory processes and the organization of trial courts, Kerwin, Cornelius, Henderson, Thomas and Baar, Carl, 99-107.
Can and should there be systematic assignment of judges to casetypes?, Slate, William K. II, 77-78.
Child victims in court: the limits of innovation, Whitcomb, Debra, 90-94.
Court executives and decentralization of the federal judiciary, Flanders, Steven, 273-279.
Documenting gender bias in the courts: the task force approach, Schafran, Lynn Hecht, 280-290.
The growth of the federal judicial labor force: a budget-based perspective, Nase, Jonathan P., 157-160.
Judicial assignment, efficiency and politics, Ryan, John Paul, 78-79.
My "freshman years" on the court of appeals, Kaye, Judith S., 166-167.
Prison reform litigation: has the revolution gone too far? (query), Brakel, Samuel Jan, 5-6, 64-65; Prison reform litigation (letter), Koren, Edward I., 260; Author's response (letter), Brakel, Samuel Jan, 260, 312.
A response to the responses, Nagel, Stuart S., 79; A response to the response (letter), Polansky, Larry P., 141.
Should judges manage their own caseloads? (query), Enslen, Richard A., 200-201.
Systematic assignment of judges: a proposal, Nagel, Stuart S., 73-75.
Systematic assignment in the urban court, Polansky, Larry P., 76-77.

Court structure
The growth of the federal judicial labor force: a budget-based perspective, Nase, Jonathan P., 157-160.
An intercircuit panel of the United States court of appeals: the costs of structural change, Winters, Richard H., 31-40.
Major caseload growth predicted (letter), Marvell, Thomas B. and Moody, Carlisle E., 4.
A step forward: Tulsa's precourt hearing program (focus), Kleiman, Bonnie S., 170-171.

Criminal procedure
Judicial attitudes toward child sexual abuse: a preliminary examination, Saunders, Edward J., 95-98.
Judicial supervision of the guilty plea process: a study of six jurisdictions, McDonald, William F., 203-215.

KEY

The pages used in the index listings refer to the following months.

Pages	Month
1-68	June-July 1986
69-136	August-September
137-196	October-November
197-256	December-January 1987
257-316	February-March
317-384	April-May

North Carolina's new infractions law: decriminalizing noncriminals (focus), Johnston, W. Lee and LaGrange, Randy L., 370-371.
States before the U.S. Supreme Court: direct representation in cases involving criminal rights, 1969-1984, Epstein, Lee and O'Connor, Karen, 305-306.
States before the U.S. Supreme Court: state attorneys general as *amicus curiae*, Morris, Thomas R., 298-305.

Gender bias
Documenting gender bias in the courts: the task force approach, Schafran, Lynn Hecht, 280-290.

Judicial compensation
Voters decide on judicial selection, discipline and compensation issues (focus), Sampson, Kathleen, 247, 253.

Judicial decisionmaking
Adjudication to settlement: shading in the gray, Kritzer, Herbert M., 161-165.
Adjudicatory processes and the organization of trial courts, Kerwin, Cornelius, Henderson, Thomas and Baar, Carl, 99-107.
Constitutional rights without remedies: judicial review of underinclusive legislation, Miller, Bruce K. and Devins, Neal E., 151-156.
Documenting gender bias in the courts: the task force approach, Schafran, Lynn Hecht, 280-290.
Federal judges' role in prisoner litigation: What's necessary? What's proper?, Smith, Christopher E., 144-150.
An intercircuit panel of the United States court of appeals: the costs of structural change, Winters, Richard H., 31-40.
Is life tenure on the Supreme Court good for the country? (query), Fleming, Macklin, 322-323, 375.
Judicial attitudes toward child sexual abuse: a preliminary examination, Saunders, Edward J., 95-98.
Judicial elections: the California experience, Grodin, Joseph, 365-369.
Judicial supervision of the guilty plea process: a study of six jurisdictions, McDonald, William F., 203-215.
Motion on the merits: an effective response to appellate congestion and delay (focus), Green, Dale M. and Keyes, Michael F., 168-170.
My "freshman years" on the court of appeals, Kaye, Judith S., 166-167.
Reagan's appointments to the U.S. courts of appeals: the continuation of a judicial revolution, Gottschall, John, 48-54.
Rose Bird and the politics of judicial accountability in California, Culver, John H. and Wold, John T., 81-89.
A salacious innuendo? (letter), Henry, M.L. Jr., 321.

Judicial discipline and removal
After California, what's next for judicial elections? (panel discussion), 356-364.
Confidentiality, public access to records examined at judicial conduct conference (focus), Burnham, David, 244-246.
The defeat of the California justices: the campaign, the electorate, and the issue of judicial accountability, Wold, John T. and Culver, John H., 348-355.
Is life tenure on the Supreme Court good for the country? (query), Fleming, Macklin, 322-323, 375.
Judicial elections: the California experience, Grodin, Joseph, 365-369.
1986—a historic year for judicial elections (focus), Schotland, Roy A., 246-247.
Preventing judicial misconduct: defining the role of conduct organizations, Gardiner, John A., 113-121.
A salacious innuendo? (letter), Henry, M.L. Jr., 321.
Voters decide on judicial selection, discipline and compensation issues (focus), Sampson, Kathleen, 247, 253.
Who will control the judiciary? (query), Adams, Arlin M., 142-143, 174-175.

Judicial education and qualifications
After California, what's next for judicial elections? (panel discussion), 356-364.
An elaboration (letter), Schafran, Lynn Hecht, 4.
An ironic analogue (letter), Sullivan, Joseph A., 140-141.
The defeat of the California justices: the campaign, the electorate, and the issue of judicial accountability, Wold, John T. and Culver, John H., 348-355.
Is life tenure on the Supreme Court good for the country? (query), Fleming, Macklin, 322-323, 375.
Judicial attitudes toward child sexual abuse: a preliminary examination, Saunders, Edward J., 95-98.
Merit selection of federal administrative law judges, Sharon, Amiel T. and Pettibone, Craig B., 216-222.
More important judicial qualities (letter), Grimit, Robert T., 141, 175.
My "freshman years" on the court of appeals, Kaye, Judith S., 166-167.
Reagan's second term judicial appointments: the battle at midway, Goldman, Sheldon, 324-339.
Selection systems and judicial characteristics: the recruitment of state supreme court justices, Glick, Henry R. and Emmert, Craig F., 228-235; Words of caution (letter), DuBois, Philip L., 320.
The Senate's role in judicial appointments, Simon, Paul, 55-58; The advise and consent role (letter), Mudrick, David P., 140; Independence or accountability? (letter), Johns, Doyle T. Jr., 141.
Sour grapes (letter), Toppins, Roger K., 140.
Stability and change: characteristics of supreme court justices, Glick, Henry R. and Emmert, Craig F., 107-112.

Judicial ethics
After California, what's next for judicial elections? (panel discussion), 356-364.
Confidentiality, public access to records examined at judicial conduct conference (focus), Burnham, David, 244-246.
The defeat of the California justices: the campaign, the electorate, and the issue of judicial accountability, Wold, John T. and Culver, John H., 348-355.
Documenting gender bias in the courts: the task force approach, Schafran, Lynn Hecht, 280-290.
Financing trial court elections: who contributes to California judicial campaigns?, Dubois, Philip L., 8-16.
Funding judicial campaigns in the Circuit Court of Cook County, Nicholson, Marlene Arnold and Weiss, Bradley Scott, 17-25.
Fundraising by judges: ethical restrictions on assisting civic, charitable and other organizations, Goldstein, Candice, 27-30.
Judicial elections: the California experience, Grodin, Joseph, 365-369.
1986—a historic year for judicial elections (focus), Schotland, Roy A., 246-247.
Preventing judicial misconduct: defining the role of conduct organizations, Gardiner, John A., 113-121.
A reporter's view of relations with judges, Knoche, Eldon, 268-269.
Rose Bird and the politics of judicial accountability in California, Culver, John H. and Wold, John T., 81-89.
A salacious innuendo? (letter), Henry, M.L. Jr., 321.
The tenure of judges (letter), Hall, Robert H., 320-321.
Uncertain dancers: judges and the news media, Drechsel, Robert E., 264-272.
Voters decide on judicial selection, discipline and compensation issues (focus), Sampson, Kathleen, 247, 253.
Who will control the judiciary? (query), Adams, Arlin M., 142-143, 174-175.

Judicial evaluation
After California, what's next for judicial elections? (panel discussion), 356-364.
The defeat of the California justices: the campaign, the electorate, and the issue of judicial accountability, Wold, John T. and Culver, John H., 348-355.
Judicial elections: the California experience, Grodin, Joseph, 365-369.
Merit selection of federal administrative law judges, Sharon, Amiel T. and Pettibone, Craig B., 216-222.
Preventing judicial misconduct: defining the role of conduct organizations, Gardiner, John A., 113-121.
Rose Bird and the politics of judicial accountability in California, Culver, John H. and Wold, John T., 81-89.
The Senate's role in judicial appointments, Simon, Paul, 55-58; Independence or accountability? (letter), Johns, Doyle T. Jr., 141; An ironic analogue (letter), Sullivan, Joseph A., 140-141.
What twenty years of judicial retention elections have told us, Hall, William K. and Aspin, Larry T., 340-347.
Who will control the judiciary? (query), Adams, Arlin M., 142-143, 174-175.

Judicial independence—See Judicial ethics and Judicial powers and duties

Judicial powers and duties
Adjudication to settlement: shading in the gray, Kritzer, Herbert M., 161-165.
Adjudicatory processes and the organization of trial courts, Kerwin, Cornelius, Henderson, Thomas and Baar, Carl, 99-107.
Child victims in court: the limits of innovation, Whitcomb, Debra, 90-94.
Constitutional rights without remedies: judicial review of underinclusive legislation, Miller, Bruce K. and Devins, Neal E., 151-156.
The defeat of the California justices: the campaign, the electorate, and the issue of judicial accountability, Wold, John T. and Culver, John H., 348-355.
Delay in state courts: are time standards an answer? (focus), Schwartz, Howard P. and Ratliff, Leslie, 124-126.
Documenting gender bias in the courts: the task force approach, Schafran, Lynn Hecht, 280-290.
An elaboration (letter), Schafran, Lynn Hecht, 4.
Federal judges' role in prisoner litigation: What's necessary? What's proper?, Smith, Christopher E., 144-150.
Improving the process: case selection by the Supreme Court, Estreicher, Samuel and Sexton, John, 41-47.
An intercircuit panel of the United States court of appeals: the costs of structural change, Winters, Richard H., 31-40.
Judicial elections: the California experience, Grodin, Joseph, 365-369.
Judicial supervision of the guilty plea process: a study of six jurisdictions, McDonald, William F., 203-215.
Motion on the merits: an effective resonse to appellate congestion and delay (focus), Green, Dale M. and Keyes, Michael F., 168-170.
North Carolina's new infractions law: decriminalizing noncriminals (focus), Johnston, W. Lee and LaGrange, Randy L., 370-371.
Prison reform litigation: has the revolution gone too far? (query), Brakel, Samuel Jan, 5-6, 64-65; Prison reform litigation (letter), Koren, Edward I., 260; Author's response (letter), Brakel, Samuel Jan, 260, 312.
A reporter's view of relations with judges, Knoche, Eldon, 268-269.
Rose Bird and the politics of judicial accountability in California, Culver, John H. and Wold, John T., 81-89.
The Senate's role in judicial appointments, Simon, Paul, 55-58; Independence or accountability? (letter), Johns, Doyle T. Jr., 141; An ironic analogue (letter), Sullivan, Joseph A., 140-141.
Should court cases be systematically sequenced? (query), Nagel, Stuart S., 261-263, 310.
Should judges manage their own caseloads? (query), Enslen, Richard A., 200-201.
The tenure of judges (letter), Hall, Robert H., 320-321.
Uncertain dancers: judges and the news media, Drechsel, Robert E., 264-272.

Judicial selection
After California, what's next for judicial elections? (panel discussion), 356-364.
The defeat of the California justices: the campaign, the electorate, and the issue of judicial accountability, Wold, John T. and Culver, John H., 348-355.
Financing trial court elections: who contributes to California judicial campaigns?, Dubois, Philip L., 8-16.
Funding judicial campaigns in the Circuit Court of Cook County, Nicholson, Marlene Arnold and Weiss, Bradley Scott, 17-25.

Is life tenure on the Supreme Court good for the country? (query), Fleming, Macklin, 322-323, 375.
Judicial elections: the California experience, Grodin, Joseph, 365-369.
Merit selection of federal administrative law judges, Sharon, Amiel T. and Pettibone, Craig B., 216-222.
More important judicial qualities (letter), Grimit, Robert T., 141, 175.
1986—a historic year for judicial elections (focus), Schotland, Roy A., 246-247.
Reagan's appointments to the U.S. courts of appeals: the continuation of a judicial revolution, Gottschall, John, 48-54.
Reagan's second term judicial appointments: the battle at midway, Goldman, Sheldon, 324-339.
Rose Bird and the politics of judicial accountability in California, Culver, John H. and Wold, John T., 81-89.
Selection systems and judicial characteristics: the recruitment of state supreme court justices, Glick, Henry R. and Emmert, Craig F., 228-235; Words of caution (letter), DuBois, Philip L., 320.
The Senate's role in judicial appointments, Simon, Paul, 55-58; Independence or accountability? (letter), Johns, Doyle T. Jr., 141; An ironic analogue (letter), Sullivan, Joseph A., 140-141.
Sour grapes (letter), Toppins, Roger K., 140.
Stability and change: characteristics of supreme court justices, Glick, Henry R. and Emmert, Craig F., 107-112.
The tenure of judges (letter), Hall, Robert H., 320-321.
Voters decide on judicial selection, discipline and compensation issues (focus), Sampson, Kathleen, 247, 253.
What twenty years of judicial retention elections have told us, Hall, William K. and Aspin, Larry T., 340-347.
Who will control the judiciary? (query), Adams, Arlin M., 142-143, 174-175.

Jury trial
An additional problem (letter), Johnson, Bruce C., 4.

Legislation
Constitutional rights without remedies: judicial review of underinclusive legislation, Miller, Bruce K. and Devins, Neal E., 151-156.
An intercircuit panel of the United States court of appeals: the costs of structural change, Winters, Richard H., 31-40.
North Carolina's new infractions law: decriminalizing noncriminals (focus), Johnston, W. Lee and LaGrange, Randy L., 370-371.
Symposium examines tort liability system problems (focus), Burnham, David, 59-60.

Media and courts
After California, what's next for judicial elections? (panel discussion), 356-364.
Confidentiality, public access to records examined at judicial conduct conference (focus), Burnham, David, 244-246.
The defeat of the California justices: the campaign, the electorate, and the issue of judicial accountability, Wold, John T. and Culver, John H., 348-355.
Judicial elections: the California experience, Grodin, Joseph, 365-369.
A reporter's view of relations with judges, Knoche, Eldon, 268-269.
Uncertain dancers: judges and the news media, Drechsel, Robert E., 264-272.

Mediation
A step forward: Tulsa's precourt hearing program (focus), Kleiman, Bonnie S., 170-171.

Plea bargaining
Judicial supervision of the guilty plea process: a study of six jurisdictions, McDonald, William F., 203-215.

Prosecution function
Judicial supervision of the guilty plea process: a study of six jurisdictions, McDonald, William F., 203-215.

State attorneys general
States before the U.S. Supreme Court: direct representation in cases involving criminal rights, 1969-1984, Epstein, Lee and O'Connor, Karen, 305-306.
States before the U.S. Supreme Court: state attorneys general as *amicus curiae*, Morris, Thomas R., 298-305.

Statutory reform
Child victims in court: the limits of innovation, Whitcomb, Debra, 90-94.
Corporations as citizens of every state where they do business: a needed change in diversity jurisdiction, Joiner, Charles W., 291-297.
North Carolina's new infractions law: decriminalizing noncriminals (focus), Johnston, W. Lee and LaGrange, Randy L., 370-371.

Tort reform
Symposium examines tort liability system problems (focus), Burnham, David, 59-60.

Trial courts, general jurisdiction
Adjudication to settlement: shading in the gray, Kritzer, Herbert M., 161-165.
Adjudicatory processes and the organization of trial courts, Kerwin, Cornelius, Henderson, Thomas and Baar, Carl, 99-107.
Corporations as citizens of every state where they do business: a needed change in diversity jurisdiction, Joiner, Charles W., 291-297.
Judicial supervision of the guilty plea process: a study of six jurisdictions, McDonald, William F., 203-215.
North Carolina's new infractions law: decriminalizing noncriminals (focus), Johnston, W. Lee and LaGrange, Randy L., 370-371.
Should court cases be systematically sequenced? (query), Nagel, Stuart S., 261-263, 310.
Should judges manage their own caseloads? (query), Enslen, Richard A., 200-201.

Victims and witnesses
Child victims in court: the limits of innovation, Whitcomb, Debra, 90-94.
Documenting gender bias in the courts: the task force approach, Schafran, Lynn Hecht, 280-290.
Judicial attitudes toward child sexual abuse: a preliminary examination, Saunders, Edward J., 95-98.

Videotape in court
Child victims in court: the limits of innovation, Whitcomb, Debra, 90-94.

A U T H O R S

Adams, Arlin M.—Who will control the judiciary? (query), 142-143, 174-175.
Aspin, Larry T. and Hall, William K.—What twenty years of judicial retention elections have told us, 340-347.
Baar, Carl, Kerwin, Cornelius, K., and Henderson, Thomas—Adjudicatory processes and the organization of trial courts, 99-106.
Brakel, Samuel Jan—Author's response (letter), 260, 312; Prison reform litigation: has the revolution gone too far? (query), 5-6, 64-65.
Burnham, David—Confidentiality, public access to records examined at judicial conduct conference (focus), 244-246; Symposium examines tort liability system problems (focus), 59-60.
Culver, John H., and Wold, John T.—The defeat of the California justices: the campaign, the electorate, and the issue of judicial accountability, 348-355; Rose Bird and the politics of judicial accountability in California, 80-89.
Devins, Neal E., and Miller, Bruce K.—Constitutional rights without remedies: judicial review of underinclusive legislation, 151-156.
Drechsel, Robert E.—Uncertain dancers: judges and the news media, 264-272.
Dubois, Philip L.—Financing trial court elections: who contributes to California judicial campaigns?, 8-16; Words of caution (letter), 320.
Emmert, Craig F., and Glick, Henry R.—Selection systems and judicial characteristics: the recruitment of state supreme court judges, 228-235; Stability and change: characteristics of state supreme court justices, 107-112.
Enslen, Richard A.—Should judges manage their own caseloads? (query), 200-201.
Epstein, Lee and O'Connor, Karen—States before the U.S. Supreme Court: direct representation in cases involving criminal rights, 1969-1984, 305-306.
Estreicher, Samuel and Sexton, John—Improving the process: case selection by the Supreme Court, 41-47.
Flanders, Steven—Circuit executives and decentralization of the federal judiciary, 273-279.
Fleming, Macklin—Is life tenure on the Supreme Court good for the country? (query), 322-323, 375.
Gallagher, Steven G., and Nejelski, Paul—Records and more records: how the Third Circuit coped (and saved money too), (focus), 122-124.
Gardiner, John A.—Preventing judicial misconduct: defining the role of conduct organizations, 113-121.
Glick, Henry R., and Emmert, Craig F.—Selection systems and judicial characteristics: the recruitment of state supreme court judges, 228-235; Stability and change: characteristics of state supreme court justices, 107-112.
Goldman, Sheldon—Reagan's second term judicial appointments: the battle at midway, 324-339.
Goldstein, Candice—Fundraising by judges: ethical restrictions on assisting civic, charitable and other organizations, 27-30.
Gottschall, Jon—Reagan's appointments to the U.S. courts of appeals: the continuation of a judicial revolution, 48-54.
Green, Dale M., and Keyes, Michael F.—Motion on the merits: an effective response to appellate congestion and delay (focus), 168-170.
Grimit, Robert T.—More important judicial qualities (letter), 141, 175.
Grodin, Joseph R.—Judicial elections: the California experience, 365-369.
Hall, Robert H.—The tenure of judges (letter), 370-371.
Hall, William K. and Aspin, Larry T.—What twenty years of judicial retention elections have told us, 340-347.
Hanson, Roger A.—What should be done when prisoners want to take the state to court?, 223-227.
Henderson, Thomas, Baar, Carl and Kerwin, Cornelius K.—Adjudicatory processes and the organization of trial courts, 99-106.
Henry, M.L. Jr.—A salacious innuendo? (letter), 371.
Johns, Doyle T., Jr.—Independence or accountability? (letter), 141.
Johnson, Bruce C.—An additional problem (letter), 4.
Johnston, W. Lee and LaGrange, Randy L.—North Carolina's new infractions law: decriminalizing noncriminals (focus), 370-371.
Joiner, Charles W.—Corporations as citizens of every state where they do business: a needed change in diversity jurisdiction, 291-297.
Kaye, Judith S.—My "freshman years" on the court of appeals, 166-167.
Kerwin, Cornelius, K., Henderson, Thomas, and Baar, Carl—Adjudicatory processes and the organization of trial courts, 99-106.

KEY

The pages used in the index listings refer to the following months.

Pages	Month
1-68	June-July 1986
69-136	August-September
137-196	October-November
197-256	December-January 1987
257-316	February-March
317-384	April-May

Keyes, Michael F., and Green, Dale M.—Motion on the merits: an effective response to appellate congestion and delay (focus), 168-170.
Kleiman, Bonnie S.—A step forward: Tulsa's pre-court hearing program (focus), 170-171.
Knoche, Eldon—A reporter's view of relations with judges, 268-269.
Koren, Edward I.—Prison reform litigation (letter), 260.
Kritzer, Herbert M.—Adjudication to settlement: shading in the gray, 161-165.
LaGrange, Randy L. and Johnston, W. Lee—North Carolina's new infractions law: decriminalizing noncriminals (focus), 370-371.
Levine, David I.—Early neutral evaluation: a follow-up report, 236-240.
Marvell, Thomas B. and Moody, Carlisle E.—Major caseload growth predicted (letter), 4.
McDonald, William F.—Judicial supervision of the guilty plea process: a study of six jurisdictions, 202-215.
Miller, Bruce K., and Devins, Neal E.—Constitutional rights without remedies: judicial review of underinclusive legislation, 151-156.
Moody, Carlisle E. and Marvell, Thomas B.—Major caseload growth predicted (letter), 4.
Morris, Thomas R.—States before the U.S. Supreme Court: state attorneys general as *amicus curiae*, 298-305.
Mudrick, David P.—The advice and consent role (letter), 140.
Nagel, Stuart—A response to the responses, 79; Should court cases be systematically sequenced? (query), 261-263, 310; Systematic assignment of judges: a proposal, 73-75.
Nase, Jonathan P.—The growth of the federal judicial labor force: a budget-based perspective, 157-160.
Nejelski, Paul and Gallagher, Steven G.—Records and more records: how the Third Circuit coped (and saved money too), (focus), 122-124.
Nicholson, Marlene Arnold and Weiss, Bradley Scott—Funding judicial campaigns in the Circuit Court of Cook County, 17-25.
O'Connor, Karen and Epstein, Lee—States before the U.S. Supreme Court: direct representation in cases involving criminal rights, 1969-1984, 305-306.
Pettibone, Craig B., and Sharon, Amiel T.—Merit selection of federal administrative law judges, 216-222.
Polansky, Larry P.—A response to the response (letter), 141.
Polansky, Larry P.—Systematic assignment in the urban court, 76-77.
Ratliff, Leslie, and Schwartz, Howard P.—Delay in state courts: are time standards an answer? (focus), 124-126.
Ryan, John Paul—Judicial assignment, efficiency and politics, 78-79.
Sampson, Kathleen—Voters decide on judicial selection, discipline and compensation issues (focus), 247, 253.
Saunders, Edward J.—Judicial attitudes toward child sexual abuse: a preliminary examination, 95-98.
Schafran, Lynn Hecht—Documenting gender bias in the courts: the task force approach, 280-290; An elaboration (letter), 4.
Schotland, Roy A.—1986—a historic year for judicial elections (focus), 246-247.
Schwartz, Howard P., and Ratliff, Leslie—Delay in state courts: are time standards an answer? (focus), 124-126.
Sexton, John and Estreicher, Samuel—Improving the process: case selection by the Supreme Court, 41-47.
Sharon, Amiel T., and Pettibone, Craig B.—Merit selection of federal administrative law judges, 216-222.
Simon, Paul—The Senate's role in judicial appointments, 55-58.
Slate, William K. II—Can and should there be systematic assignment of judges to casetypes?, 77-78.
Smith, Christopher E.—Federal judges' role in prisoner litigation: What's necessary? What's proper?, 144-150.
Sullivan, Joseph A.—An ironic analogue (letter), 140-141.
Talikka, Leo J.—Summary jury trial (letter), 4.
Toppins, Roger K.—Sour grapes (letter), 140.
Weiss, Bradley Scott and Nicholson, Marlene Arnold—Funding judicial campaigns in the Circuit Court of Cook County, 17-25.
Whitcomb, Debra—Child victims in court: the limits of innovation, 90-94.
Williams, George H.—"Ten years is a nice round figure:" an interview with George H. Williams, 241-243.
Winters, Richard H.—An intercircuit panel of the United States court of appeals: the costs of structural change, 31-40.
Wold, John T., and Culver, John H.—The defeat of the California justices: the campaign, the electorate, and the issue of judicial accountability, 348-355; Rose Bird and the politics of judicial accountability in California, 80-89.

BOOK REVIEWS

BOOK AUTHORS

Friedman, Lawrence M., Total Justice, reviewed by David Burnham, 308-309.
Glennon, Robert Jerome, The Iconoclast as Reformer: Jerome Frank's Impact on American Law, reviewed by Jeffrey M. Shaman, 127-130.
Hans, Valerie P., and Vidmar, Neil, Judging the Jury, reviewed by Robert J. MacCoun, 172-173.
Harrington, Christine, Shadow Justice: The Ideology and Institutionalization of Alternatives to Court, reviewed by Carrie Menkel-Meadow, 307-308.
Louthan, William and Pinkele, Carl, editors, Discretion, Justice and Democracy: A Public Policy Perspective, reviewed by David Neubauer, 62.
Martineau, Robert J., Modern Appellate Practice: Federal and State Civil Appeals, reviewed by Carl McGowan, 250-252.
Pinkele, Carl and Louthan, William, editors, Discretion, Justice and Democracy: A Public Policy Perspective, reviewed by David Neubauer, 62.
Provine, Doris Marie, Judging Credentials: Nonlawyer Judges and the Politics of Professionalism, reviewed by Roy B. Flemming, 248-250.
Vidmar, Neil and Hans, Valerie P., Judging the Jury, reviewed by Robert J. MacCoun, 172-173.
Wishman, Seymour, Anatomy of a Jury: The System on Trial, reviewed by Robert J. MacCoun, 172-173.
Wolfe, Christopher, The Rise of Modern Judicial Review: From Constitutional Interpretation to Judge-Made Law, reviewed by Elliot E. Slotnick, 372-373.

BOOK TITLES

Anatomy of a Jury: The System on Trial, by Seymour Wishman, reviewed by Robert J. MacCoun, 172-173.
Discretion, Justice and Democracy: A Public Policy Perspective, edited by William Louthan and Carl Pinkele, reviewed by David Neubauer, 62.
The Iconoclast as Reformer: Jerome Frank's Impact on American Law, by Robert Jerome Glennon, reviewed by Jeffrey M. Shaman, 127-130.
Judging Credentials: Nonlawyer Judges and the Politics of Professionalism, by Doris Marie Provine, reviewed by Roy B. Flemming, 248-250.
Judging the Jury, by Valerie P. Hans and Neil Vidmar, reviewed by Robert J. MacCoun, 172-173.
Modern Appellate Practice: Federal and State Civil Appeals, by Robert J. Martineau, reviewed by Carl McGowan, 250-252.
The Rise of Modern Judicial Review: From Constitutional Interpretation to Judge-Made Law, by Christopher Wolfe, reviewed by Elliot E. Slotnick, 372-373.
Shadow Justice: The Ideology and Institutionalization of Alternatives to Court, by Christine Harrington, reviewed by Carrie Menkel-Meadow, 307-308.
Total Justice, by Lawrence M. Friedman, reviewed by David Burnham, 308-309.

BOOK REVIEWERS

Burnham, David, Total Justice, by Lawrence M. Friedman, 308-309.
Flemming, Roy B., Judging Credentials: Nonlawyer Judges and the Politics of Professionalism, by Doris Marie Provine, 248-250.
MacCoun, Robert J., Anatomy of a Jury: The System on Trial, by Seymour Wishman; Judging the Jury, by Valerie P. Hans and Neil Vidmar, 172-173.
McGowan, Carl, Modern Appellate Practice: Federal and State Civil Appeals by Robert J. Martineau, 250-252.
Menkel-Meadow, Carrie, Shadow Justice: The Ideology and Institutionalization of Alternatives to Court, by Christine Harrington, 307-308.
Neubauer, David, Discretion, Justice and Democracy: A Public Policy Perspective, edited by William Louthan and Carl Pinkele, 62.
Shaman, Jeffrey M., The Iconoclast as Reformer: Jerome Frank's Impact on American Law, by Robert Jerome Glennon, 127-130.
Slotnick, Elliot E., The Rise of Modern Judicial Review: From Constitutional Interpretation to Judge-Made Law, by Christopher Wolfe, 372-373.

"Yes, we have reached a verdict, your honor, but first, you might wish to hear how we did it."

The editors would like to thank the many individuals who reviewed manuscripts submitted for publication during the past year.